

Warm and fuzzy talk is a weak defense against terrorists

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Cal Thomas

Source The Salt Lake Tribune

Date September 19, 2006

URL http://www.sltrib.com/search/cj_4364400

Quote

“ Does [Ed Rendell] seriously believe such a retreat would not be seen as surrender and weakness, playing into the hands of jihadists, who would be emboldened to keep on fighting until they dominated all of Europe and then come after America? This is why liberal Democrats cannot be trusted to run the foreign policy of the United States.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Act should be passed on the topic Military Commissions Act of 2006.

This item argues against the position Coalition troops should pull out on the topic Post-invasion Iraq.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Warm_and_fuzzy_talk_is_a_weak_defense_against_terrorists&oldid=3251"

This page was last edited on September 21, 2006, at 17:59.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.