We Call That Double-Dipping

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) The New York Times editorial board

Source The New York Times

Date April 5, 2010

URL http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/06/opinion/06tue1.html

Quote

"The unanswered question is whether — as the critics charge — the change will push a lot of employers into dropping their retiree drug plans. The remaining tax subsidy is substantial and many companies and their workers value the retiree drug benefit, so defections may be small. If some retirees do lose their company drug benefits, they can buy government-subsidized coverage in Medicare that may be just or almost as good and will be getting better as health care reform progresses."

9 1

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Act should not have been passed on the topic Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=We_Call_That_Double-Dipping&oldid=11694"

This page was last edited on April 6, 2010, at 21:20.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.