What Was the Supreme Court Thinking?

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Mark Davis

Source The Dallas Morning News

Date July 3, 2006

URL http://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2006/07/hamdan_not_your_ordinary_botch.html

Quote

"As for the Geneva Conventions, Justice John Paul Stevens in his opinion for the majority seems stunningly unaware of when they apply and when they do not. They apply when we are at war with a uniformed enemy belonging to another country that is a signatory to and practitioner of the Conventions' protections. Not one of those conditions applies in the war on terror."

9:

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues against the position Supreme Court was correct in its ruling on the topic Hamdan v. Rumsfeld.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=What_Was_the_Supreme_Court_Thinking%3F&oldid=3157"

This page was last edited on September 18, 2006, at 17:33.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.