

Why We Should Put Jihad on Trial

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Steve Simon

Source The New York Times

Date November 17, 2009

URL <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/11/18/opinion/18simon.html>

Quote

“ “The real propaganda event is likely to unfold very differently. Instead of Khalid Shaikh Mohammed making his case, we will see the full measure of the horror of 9/11 outlined to the world in a way that only methodical trials can accomplish. Historically, the public exposure of state-sponsored mass murder or terrorism through a transparent judicial process has strengthened the forces of good and undercut the extremists. The Nuremberg trials were a classic case. And nothing more effectively alerted the world to the danger of genocide than Israel's prosecution in 1961 of Adolf Eichmann, the bureaucrat who engineered the Holocaust.” ”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Trial should be held in criminal court on the topic United States v. Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, et al..

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Why_We_Should_Put_Jihad_on_Trial&oldid=10584"

This page was last edited on November 23, 2009, at 19:18.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.