

Wiretap decision goes over the top

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) Rocky Mountain News editorial board

Source Rocky Mountain News

Date August 19, 2006

URL http://www.rockymountainnews.com/drmn/editorials/article/0,2777,DRMN_23964_4928086,00.html

Quote

“ Taylor employs plenty of florid rhetoric in the opinion, comparing President Bush with King George III and at times saying "obviously" and "undisputedly" the wiretap program violates the Constitution. But her arguments show a profound misunderstanding of the Bill of Rights, so it's unlikely to persuade appellate judges. And the main constitutional question remains whether these wiretaps are considered unreasonable searches under the Fourth Amendment.”

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item takes a mixed stance on the position Judge Taylor was right to rule program unconstitutional on the topic NSA warrantless surveillance controversy.

Retrieved from "https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Wiretap_decision_goes_over_the_top&oldid=3319"

This page was last edited on September 26, 2006, at 14:59.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.