Wiretap ruling affirms that presidents aren't monarchs

This is the approved revision of this page, as well as being the most recent.

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

This is an opinion item.

Author(s) USA Today editorial board

Source USA Today

Date 8/18/2006

URL http://www.usatoday.com/printedition/news/20060818/edit18.art.htm

Ouote

"Bush has done these things by simply asserting that the powers of the presidency enumerated in Article II of the Constitution - particularly the clause making him the "Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy" - are much more sweeping than previously imagined. In short, he has acted like a king. Fortunately, the courts have begun to rein in his royal ambitions."

9

Add or change this opinion item's references

This item argues for the position Judge Taylor was right to rule program unconstitutional on the topic NSA warrantless surveillance controversy.

Retrieved from

"https://discoursedb.org/w/index.php?title=Wiretap_ruling_affirms_that_presidents_aren%27t_monarchs&oldid=2727"

This page was last edited on August 28, 2006, at 21:06.

All text is available under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.